Low energy electroweak interaction processes in A=2, 3 nuclei in pionless EFT

Hilla De-Leon Under supervision of Doron Gazit



Progress in Ab Initio Techniques in Nuclear Physics

Vancouver 2.2016



pp Fusion

- Low energy electroweak interactions in light nuclear systems (d, ³H, ³He) take part in many scenarios such as Big Bang nucleosynthesis and evolution of the Sun
- The energy generated in the Sun comes from an exothermic set of reactions, *pp* chain:

 $4p \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2e^{+} + 2\nu_{e}.$



- The leading reaction (~99%) is *pp* fusion: $p + p \rightarrow d + e^+ + \nu_e$
- This reaction is the slowest reaction in the whole chain ($\tau \sim 109$ years) and therefore it determines the Sun's lifetime.
- Measurement of its cross section is impossible, so it must be calculated from the fundamental theory of physics.

Effective Field Theory

- The fundamental theory is Quantum Chromo-Dynamics (QCD), non-perturbative in the low energy regime.
- Simple theory for describing a few-nucleon system at low energies exists Effective Field Theory.
- For low energies ($q < \Lambda_{cut} = m_{\pi}$), pion can be integrate out and only nucleons are left as effective degrees of freedom.
- QCD \rightarrow EFT (π)

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{effective}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{O}(1)}_{LO} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{q}{\Lambda_{cut}}, \frac{r}{a}\right)}_{NLO} + \dots +$$

• Calculation of $\langle \mu_{^{3}\text{H}} \rangle$, $\langle \mu_{^{3}\text{He}} \rangle$ in EFT(π) as well as a prediction for *pp* fusion rate.



Electroweak interaction in EFT(7t)

| | EM | Weak |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 1-body LEC | κ_n , κ_p | g_A |
| 1-body operator | $\sigma,\sigma\tau^0$ | $	au^{+,-}$, $\sigma 	au^{+,-}$, |
| 2-body operator | $L_1 s^{\dagger} d$, $L_2 d^{\dagger} d$ | $L_{1A}s^{\dagger}d$ |
| $A = 2, q \approx 0$ obs. | σ_{np} , $\langle \mu_d angle$ | Λ_{pp} |
| $A = 3, q \approx 0$ obs. | $\langle \mu_{3H} angle, \langle \mu_{3He} angle$ | $^{3}\mathrm{H}m{eta}$ decay |

- There are four well measured EM obs. and two unknown 2-body LECs
- A successful prediction of EM in EFT(π) will indicate its ability to predict Λ_{pp} .
- For the first time we use A = 3 EM obs. to fix L_1 , L_2 and to predict A = 2 obs.
- Same the weak interaction: use ${}^{3}\text{H}\beta$ decay to predict Λ_{pp} .

Numerical Results

EM.

| | $\langle \mu_{^{3}\mathrm{H}} \rangle$ | $\langle \mu^{_3}_{\mathrm{He}} \rangle$ | σ_{np} | $\langle \mu_d \rangle$ | — |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---------------|-------------------------|--|
| LO | 3.088 | -2.45 | 298.2 | 0.8798 | LECs was calibrated |
| lo, Z _d | 3.1 | -2.4 | 298.2 | 0.8798 | from A=2 |
| Full NLO | 2.980 | -2.127 | 338.8 | 0.8592 | LECs was calibrated |
| Full NLO, Z _d | 2.93 | -2.150 | 347.8 | 0.8547 | from A=3 |
| ΔZ_d | 2% | 1% | 3% | 1% | $Z_d = \underbrace{1}_{t} + \underbrace{\gamma_t \rho_t}_{t} + \underbrace{(\gamma_t \rho_t)^2}_{t}$ |
| Exp data | 2.9789 | -2.12762 | 334.2 ± 0.5 | 0.8574 | $LO NLO N^{2}LO$ $Z = 1 \pm 7 = 1 \pm 0 \pm 0$ |
| ΔExp | ≲ 1% | ≲ 1% | ≲ 4% | ≲ 0.3% | $LO \qquad NLO \qquad N^2LO$ |

Weak:

We compare to Marcucci et al, pure Coulomb χ EFT S-calculation, with the same ³H decay rate & g_A values and the same $\langle F \rangle$ value.

| $S_{pp}^{\chi EFT}$ (³ S ₁ , pure Coulomb) | $4.02 \pm 0.01 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{MeV} \cdot fm^2$ |
|---|--|
| $S_{pp}^{EFT}(\mathbf{r})(0)$ | $3.90 \cdot 10^{-23} \mathrm{MeV} \cdot fm^2$ |
| $S_{pp}^{EFT(\mathbf{A})}(0), Z_d$ | $4.16 \cdot 10^{-23} \mathrm{MeV} \cdot fm^2$ |

+...

H. D and D. Gazit. In preparation, . H-W. Grießhamme 2004, L.E. Marcucci et al 2013



Summery

- EFT(\not{t}) consistently predict A = 2,3 EM $q \approx 0$ observables up to NLO with O(1%) accuaracy
- We determine the pp fusion rate with reliable uncertainty estimate.
- Our prediction:

 $S_{pp}^{EFT}(\mathbf{f})(0)_{g_{A}=1.2695} = 4.02 \pm_{theo(range)} 0.14 \pm_{g_{A}(1\sigma)} 0.07 \pm_{^{3}H(1\sigma)} 0.04 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{MeV} \cdot fm^{2}$ $S_{pp}^{EFT}(\mathbf{f})(0)_{g_{A}=1.275} = 4.16 \pm_{theo(range)} 0.14 \pm_{g_{A}(1\sigma)} 0.07 \pm_{^{3}H(1\sigma)} 0.04 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{MeV} \cdot fm^{2}$

- Better determination of g_A and ³H half-life are needed to reduce the error-bar.
- N^2LO can reduce the theoretical uncertainty significantly, to less then 1%.