Chiral Nuclear Forces at N4LO

R. Machleidt, University of Idaho

Outline

Introduction
 Some misconceptions concerning (chiral) nuclear forces
 What's next? The chiral expansion at N4LO
 Outlook

History and current status of chiral nuclear forces

- Since 20+ years, the idea of using chiral EFT for the derivation of nuclear forces is around (Weinberg, van Kolck). The ideas are by now well-known in this community, and I will not repeat them.
- Since 10+ years, quantitative chiral NN potentials exist up to N3LO.
- With these potentials (+chiral 3NFs), numerous exact few-body and *ab initio* many-body calculations have been performed---with some success. But there are also unsolved problems.
- What are the reasons for the open problems?
- The current nuclear forces may be still deficient.
- Thus, the current research on nuclear forces is characterized by attempts to improve those forces.
- Let's look at the facts and address some common misconceptions.

Myth #1: Local cutoffs are better than non-local ones. Wrong! Why?

 Potentials with local cutoffs never go to zero onshell and, thus, the phase shifts grow forever.



N4LO TRIUMF, Feb. 18, 2015

R. Machleidt

Myth #1: Local cutoffs are better than non-local ones. Wrong! Why?

Potentials with local cutoffs never go to zero onshell and, thus, the phase shifts grow forever.
Chiral EFT is an expansion in momenta. What power in momentum is the local cutoff function equivalent to?

$$f\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) = \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{R^2}\right)\right]^n$$

Myth #1: Local cutoffs are better than non-local ones.



ro on-/er. hat ction

Blue curve: The piece that a local regulator cuts out of OPE, Fourier transformed into momentum space. Dashed curves and red curve: Approximating the blue curve by contacts of various powers as denoted.

Inconsistent with proper power counting!

N4LO TRIUMF, Feb. 18, 2015

R. Machleidt

Myth #2: Non-local cutoffs are cutting down the longrange part too much.

Wrong! Why?

• It depends on the power of the non-local regulator: $f(n' - n) = \exp[-(n' / \Lambda)^{2n} - (n)$

$$f(p', p) = \exp[-(p'/\Lambda)^{2n} - (p/\Lambda)^{2n}]$$



Myth #3: When local cutoffs are used in the 3NF then, consistency requires, that local cutoffs are also used in the 2NF.

Wrong! Why?

 Cutoffs generate powers of momenta beyond the given order; the coefficients don't matter (as long as they are natural). Local vs. non-local differ only by those coefficients:

$$\begin{split} f_{\text{nonlocal}}(p',p) &= \exp[-(p'/\Lambda)^{2n} - (p/\Lambda)^{2n}] \\ &\approx 1 - \left[\left(\frac{p'}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n} + \left(\frac{p}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{p'}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n} + \left(\frac{p}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n} \right]^2 - + \dots \\ f_{\text{local}}(q) &= \exp[-(\vec{q}/\Lambda)^{2n}] \\ &\approx 1 - \left[\left(\frac{p'}{\Lambda}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{\Lambda}\right)^2 - 2\frac{\vec{p}' \cdot \vec{p}}{\Lambda^2} \right]^n + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{p'}{\Lambda}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{\Lambda}\right)^2 - 2\frac{\vec{p}' \cdot \vec{p}}{\Lambda^2} \right]^{2n} - + \dots \end{split}$$

with $\vec{q} = \vec{p}' - \vec{p}$, the momentum transfer; $q = |\vec{q}|$.

N4LO TRIUMF, Feb. 18, 2015

R. Machleidt

Myth #4: For 3NFs, local cutoffs are better than nonlocal ones.

Wrong! Why?

- Both are equally good or bad. Use what works best for you.
- In the NCSM, locals seem to work better (Navratil, FBS 41, 117 (2007)).
- In CC calculations of nuclear matter, locals showed bad convergence (Hagen et al., PRC 89, 014319 (2014)).

Myth #5: Fitting phase shifts (and not data) is good enough for a high-precison potential.

Wrong! Why?

 As pointed out correctly by the Nijmegen group some 20 years ago, phase shift chi2 can be very misleading concerning the true reproduction of the NN data.

Neutron-proton chi2/datum 0-200 MeV

	Idaho N3LO 500	Idaho N3LO 600	Bochum N3LO 550/600
Using data	1.1	1.2	1.3
Using phase shifts	2.2	5.3	2.1

N4LO TRIUMF, Feb. 18, 2015

Example:

Myth #6: Fitting a NN potential to just one NN data set is sufficient to demonstrate "high precision".

Wrong! Why?

 Even the craziest NN potential can fit one data set.
 The point is to fit all 5000+ NN data.

Now that we know what NOT to do.

What should we do?

R. Machleidt

Evaluate current status. Anything left to do in the nuclear force business?

- Current status: 2NFs and 3NFs up to N3LO are applied in nuclear few- and many-body systems.
- In general, quite a bit of success, but some persistent problems remain.
- In the few-body sector: Ay puzzle, N-d break-up,

...

N-d A_v calculations by Witala et al.



Evaluate current status. Anything left to do in the nuclear force business?

- Current status: 2NFs and 3NFs up to N3LO are applied in nuclear few- and many-body systems.
- In general, quite a bit of success, but some persistent problems remain.
- In the few-body sector: Ay puzzle, N-d break-up,

Light nuclei: Spectra not perfect.

Calculating the properties of light nuclei using chiral 2N and 3N forces



Calculating the properties of light nuclei using chiral 2N and 3N forces



Calculating the properties of light nuclei using chiral 2N and 3N forces



Evaluate current status. Anything left to do in the nuclear force business?

- Current status: 2NFs and 3NFs up to N3LO are applied in nuclear few- and many-body systems.
- In general, quite a bit of success, but some persistent problems remain.
- In the few-body sector: Ay puzzle, N-d break-up,
- Light nuclei: Spectra not perfect.
 Intermediate nuclei: Overbinding
- Intermediate nuclei: Overbinding.

Ground-state energies from O-16 to Sn-132 obtained in CC with 2NF + 3NF



FIG. 5: (Color online) Ground-state energies from CR-CC(2,3) for (a) the NN+3N-induced Hamiltonian starting from the N³LO and N²LOoptimized NN interaction and (c) the NN+3N-full Hamiltonian with $\Lambda_{3N} = 400$ MeV/c and $\Lambda_{3N} = 350$ MeV/c. The boxes represent the spread of the results from $\alpha = 0.04$ fm⁴ to $\alpha = 0.08$ fm⁴, and the tip points into the direction of smaller values of α . Also shown are the contributions of the CR-CC(2,3) triples correction to the (b) NN+3N-induced and (d) NN+3N-full results. All results employ $\hbar\Omega = 24$ MeV and 3N interactions with $E_{3max} = 18$ in NO2B approximation and full inclusion of the 3N interaction in CCSD up to $E_{3max} = 12$. Experimental binding energies [32] are shown as black bars.

From S. Binder et al., PLB 736, 119 (2014).

Evaluate current status. Anything left to do in the nuclear force business?

- Current status: 2NFs and 3NFs up to N3LO are applied in nuclear few- and many-body systems.
- In general, quite a bit of success, but some persistent problems remain.
- In the few-body sector: Ay puzzle, N-d break-up,
- Light nuclei: Spectra not perfect.
- Intermediate nuclei: Overbinding.

 Convergence of the chiral expansion in the manybody system?

Order-by-order convergence ???



R. Machleidt

22

Evaluate current status. Anything left to do in the nuclear force business?

- Current status: 2NFs and 3NFs up to N3LO are applied in nuclear few- and many-body systems.
- In general, quite a bit of success, but some persistent problems remain.
- In the few-body sector: Ay puzzle, N-d break-up,
- Light nuclei: Spectra not perfect.
- Intermediate nuclei: Overbinding.

 Convergence of the chiral expansion in the manybody system? Not converged at N3LO.

Because of the problems just demonstrated, improvement of current nuclear forces is called for

How?
Go to next order!
That is: Turn to N4LO.
What can we expect from N4LO?
Does N4LO have anything to offer we were not offered before?





The 3NFs at N4LO

$$-E_{9}\mathbf{k}_{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}\mathbf{k}_{j}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j}-E_{10}\mathbf{k}_{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}\mathbf{k}_{j}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}_{j}\Big],$$

R. №

(15)

30

The 3NFs at N4LO

All possible 20 isospin-spin-momentum/position structures occur!

Krebs, Gasparyan, Epelbaum, PRC 87, 054007 (2013)

Generators \mathcal{G} in momentum space	Generators $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ in coordinate space
$\mathcal{G}_1 = 1$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_1 = 1$
$\mathcal{G}_2 = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_2 = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3$
${\cal G}_3=ec \sigma_1\cdotec \sigma_3$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_3=ec{\sigma}_1\cdotec{\sigma}_3$
$\mathcal{G}_4 = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_4 = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$
$\mathcal{G}_5 = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_2$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_5 = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_2$
$\mathcal{G}_6 = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (ec{\sigma}_2 imes ec{\sigma}_3)$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_6 = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot (ec{\sigma}_2 imes ec{\sigma}_3)$
$\mathcal{G}_7 = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q}_1 imes ec{q}_3)$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_7 = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (\hat{r}_{12} imes \hat{r}_{23})$
$\mathcal{G}_8=ec q_1\cdotec \sigma_1ec q_1\cdotec \sigma_3$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_8 = \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$
$\mathcal{G}_9=ec q_1\cdotec \sigma_3ec q_3\cdotec \sigma_1$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_9 = \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_3 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1$
${\cal G}_{10}=ec q_1\cdotec \sigma_1ec q_3\cdotec \sigma_3$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{10} = \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$
${\cal G}_{11} = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 ec{q}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 ec{q}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_2$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{11} = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_2$
${\cal G}_{12}=oldsymbol{ au}_2\cdotoldsymbol{ au}_3ec q_1\cdotec \sigma_1ec q_3\cdotec \sigma_2$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{12} = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_2$
${\cal G}_{13}=oldsymbol{ au}_2\cdotoldsymbol{ au}_3ec{q}_3\cdotec{\sigma}_1ec{q}_1\cdotec{\sigma}_2$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{13} = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_2$
${\cal G}_{14}=oldsymbol{ au}_2\cdotoldsymbol{ au}_3arpi_3arpi_0arpi_1ec q_3\cdotec \sigma_2$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{14} = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_2$
${\mathcal G}_{15} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 ec{q}_2 \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 ec{q}_2 \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{15} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 \hat{r}_{13} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 \hat{r}_{13} \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$
${\cal G}_{16} = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 ec{\sigma}_2 ec{q}_3 \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{16} = oldsymbol{ au}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_2 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$
${\cal G}_{17} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 ec{q}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 ec{q}_3 \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{17} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_3 \hat{r}_{23} \cdot ec{\sigma}_1 \hat{r}_{12} \cdot ec{\sigma}_3$
$\mathcal{G}_{18} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_3 ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q}_1 imes ec{q}_3)$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{18} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{\sigma}_3 ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (\hat{r}_{12} imes \hat{r}_{23})$
$\mathcal{G}_{19} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_3 \cdot ec{q}_1 ec{q}_1 \cdot (ec{\sigma}_1 imes ec{\sigma}_2)$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{19} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_3 \cdot \hat{r}_{23} \hat{r}_{23} \cdot (ec{\sigma}_1 imes ec{\sigma}_2)$
$\mathcal{G}_{20} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot ec{q}_1 ec{\sigma}_3 \cdot ec{q}_1 ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (ec{q}_1 imes ec{q}_3)$	$ ilde{\mathcal{G}}_{20} = oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot (oldsymbol{ au}_2 imes oldsymbol{ au}_3) ec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{r}_{23} ec{\sigma}_3 \cdot \hat{r}_{12} ec{\sigma}_2 \cdot (\hat{r}_{12} imes \hat{r}_{23})$

Because of the problems demonstrated, improvement of current nuclear forces indicated

How?
Go to next order!
That is: Turn to N4LO.
What can we expect from N4LO?
Does N4LO have anything to offer we were not offered before?

Oh yes! All 3NFs you have ever dreamed of!

R. Machleidt

What else is there at N4LO?

N4LO 2NF Contributions

Entem, Kaiser, Machleidt, Nosyk, PRC 91, 014002 (2015)

N4LO effects on NN scattering in peripheral partial waves

From Entem, Kaiser, Machleidt, Nosyk, PRC 91, 014002 (2015)

N4LO TRIUMF, Feb. 18, 2015

R. Machleidt

Using LECs from pi-N Analysis at order four.

From Entem, Kaiser, Machleidt, Nosyk, PRC 91, 014002 (2015)

TRIUMF, Feb. 18, 2015

R. Machleidt

Conclusions

Be aware of misconceptions!

- N3LO won't solve all our problems.
- Turn to N4LO: Complete set of 3NFs and new 3NF contacts.
- 2NF at N4LO promises to be very quantitative including the peripheral waves (N4LO NN pots soon available).
- The new challenge and the new hope in microscopic nucear structure: N4LO