Short-range correlations studied with unitarily transformed interactions and operators in the NCSM

Thomas Neff Hans Feldmeier Dennis Weber

GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt

Progress in Ab Initio Techniques in Nuclear Physics TRIUMF, Vancouver, BC, Canada

February 21-23, 2013







Short-range correlations and high-momentum components in wave functions

Subedi *et al.*, Science **320**, 1476 (2008) Wiringa, Schiavilla, Pieper, Carlson, PRC **85**, 021001(R) (2008)

Interaction dependence – AV18 versus N³LO

Wiringa, Stoks, Schiavilla, PRC **51**, 38 (1995) Entem, Machleidt, PRC **68**, 041001 (2003)

High-momentum components from low-momentum interactions

Anderson, Bogner, Furnstahl, Perry, PRC 82, 054001

(2010)

Bogner, Roscher, arXiv:1208.1734v1







- strong repulsive core: nucleons can not get closer than ≈ 0.5 fm→ central correlations
- strong dependence on the orientation of the spins due to the tensor force → tensor correlations
- the nuclear force will induce strong short-range correlations in the nuclear wave function



t



Feldmeier, Horiuchi, Neff, Suzuki, PRC 84, 054003 (2011)

$$\rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}_1) = \langle \Psi | \sum_{i=1}^{A} \delta^3(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_1) | \Psi \rangle$$
$$\eta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1) = \langle \Psi | \sum_{i=1}^{A} \delta^3(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}_i - \boldsymbol{k}_1) | \Psi \rangle$$

- one-body densities calculated from exact wave functions (Correlated Gaussian Method) for AV8' interaction
- coordinate space densities reflect different sizes and densities of ²H, ³H, ³He, ⁴He and the 0⁺₂ state in ⁴He
- similar high-momentum tails in the one-body momentum distributions



of pairs in given spin-, isospin channels

$$\rho_{SM_{S},TM_{T}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1},\boldsymbol{r}_{2}) = \langle \Psi | \sum_{i < j}^{A} \hat{P}_{ij}^{SM_{S}} \hat{P}_{ij}^{TM_{T}} \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{\hat{r}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{r}_{1}) \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{\hat{r}}_{j} - \boldsymbol{r}_{2}) | \Psi \rangle$$
$$n_{SM_{S},TM_{T}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{1},\boldsymbol{k}_{2}) = \langle \Psi | \sum_{i < j}^{A} \hat{P}_{ij}^{SM_{S}} \hat{P}_{ij}^{TM_{T}} \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{\hat{k}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{k}_{1}) \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{\hat{k}}_{j} - \boldsymbol{k}_{2}) | \Psi \rangle$$

integrated over center-of-mass position $\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2)$ or the total momentum of the nucleon pair $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2$:

$$\rho_{SM_{S},TM_{T}}^{\text{rel}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \langle \Psi | \sum_{i < j}^{A} \hat{P}_{ij}^{SM_{S}} \hat{P}_{ij}^{TM_{T}} \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{\hat{r}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\hat{r}}_{j} - \boldsymbol{r}) | \Psi \rangle$$
$$n_{SM_{S},TM_{T}}^{\text{rel}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \langle \Psi | \sum_{i < j}^{A} \hat{P}_{ij}^{SM_{S}} \hat{P}_{ij}^{TM_{T}} \delta^{3}(\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\hat{k}}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\hat{k}}_{j}) - \boldsymbol{k}) | \Psi \rangle$$



S = 0, T = 1



- two-body densities calculated from exact wave functions (Correlated Gaussian Method) for AV8' interaction
- coordinate space two-body densities reflect correlation hole and tensor correlations
- \rightarrow normalize two-body density in coordinate space at r=1.0 fm
- → normalized two-body densities in coordinate space are identical at short distances for all nuclei
- also true for angular dependence in the deuteron channel





use normalization factors fixed in coordinate space

• two-body densities in momentum space identical for momenta $k > 3 \text{ fm}^{-1}$

• moderate nucleus dependence in momentum region $1.5 \text{ fm}^{-1} < k < 3 \text{ fm}^{-1}$

Feldmeier, Horiuchi, Neff, Suzuki, PRC 84, 054003 (2011)



uncorrelated

correlated

- occupation in (ST)=(10) almost exactly as in IPM
- (ST)=(01) significantly depopulated in favor of (ST)=(11)
- three-body correlations induced by the two-body tensor force: depopulation of (ST)=(01) channel is the price one has to pay for getting the full binding from the tensor force in the (ST)=(10) channel



What happens if we use SRG evolved interactions and operators ?

Evolve Hamiltonian and unitary transformation matrix

$$\frac{d\hat{H}_{\alpha}}{d\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\eta}_{\alpha}, \hat{H}_{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \frac{d\hat{U}_{\alpha}}{d\alpha} = -\hat{U}_{\alpha}\hat{\eta}_{\alpha}$$

- Evolution is done on the *N*-body level α -dependence can be used to investigate missing higher-order contributions
- All operators have to be transformed consistently

$$\hat{H}_{\alpha} = \hat{U}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{H} \hat{U}_{\alpha}, \qquad \hat{B}_{\alpha} = \hat{U}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{B} \hat{U}_{\alpha}$$

Metagenerator

$$\hat{\eta}_{\alpha} = (2\mu)^2 \left[\hat{T}_{\text{int}}, \hat{H}_{\alpha}\right]$$



simultaneous SRG evolution for transformed Hamiltonian and transformation matrix on the two-body level

$$\frac{d\hat{H}_{\alpha}}{d\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\eta}_{\alpha}, \hat{H}_{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \frac{d\hat{U}_{\alpha}}{d\alpha} = -\hat{U}_{\alpha}\hat{\eta}_{\alpha}$$

Solve many-body problem with SRG transformed Hamiltonian in the NCSM

$$\hat{H}_{\alpha}\left|\Psi_{\alpha}\right\rangle=E_{\alpha}\left|\Psi_{\alpha}\right\rangle$$

Calculate two-body densities with "bare" and "effective" density operators

$$\rho_{\text{bare}} = \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{\rho} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle, \qquad \rho_{\text{effective}} = \langle \Psi_{\alpha} | \hat{U}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{\rho} \hat{U}_{\alpha} | \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle$$

Investigate convergence of NCSM calculations and α-dependence of two-body densities



- SRG evolution for \hat{H}_{α} and \hat{U}_{α} in momentum space, $k_{\text{max}} = 15 \text{fm}^{-1}$
- Unitary transformation only acts on relative coordinates and not on the center-of-mass of the pairs
- (SRG transformed) momentum space matrix elements are expanded in HO basis
- *jj*-coupled matrix elements are calculated using the Talmi-Moshinski prescription
- *jj*-coupling slightly different than usual for two-body densities that depend on the pair momentum





 $\alpha = 0.00$ (bare)





 $\alpha = 0.01 \text{fm}^4$





 $\alpha = 0.04 \text{fm}^4$







⁴He advantages

- two-body densities available for "bare" AV8' interaction
- "bare" N³LO can be converged in NCSM

Objectives

- Compare AV8' and N³LO results
- Check for NCSM convergence
- Check flow dependence $\alpha = 0, 0.01, 0.04, 0.20 \text{ fm}^4$
 - $(\Lambda = \infty, 3.16, 2.24, 1.50 \text{ fm}^{-1})$
- Can we see many-body effects ?

















































































































Thomas Neff

TRIUMF 2013

















TRIUMF 2013













TRIUMF 2013



TRIUMF 2013





of pairs in (S, T) channels

Interaction	(0,0)	(0,1)	(1,0)	(1,1)
AV8'	0.008	2.572	2.992	0.428
AV8' $\alpha = 0.01 \text{fm}^4$	0.008	2.708	2.992	0.292
AV8' $\alpha = 0.04 \text{fm}^4$	0.007	2.821	2.993	0.179
AV8' $\alpha = 0.20 \text{fm}^4$	0.005	2.925	2.995	0.075
N ³ LO	0.009	2.710	2.991	0.290
$N^{3}LO \alpha = 0.01 \text{fm}^{4}$	0.007	2.745	2.992	0.255
N ³ LO $\alpha = 0.04 \text{fm}^4$	0.006	2.817	2.994	0.183
$N^{3}LO \alpha = 0.20 fm^{4}$	0.004	2.921	2.995	0.079

"bare" AV8' induces stronger many-body correlations than "bare" N³LO
with increasing flow parameter many-body correlations become weaker







point matter radius:

_

$$r_{\rm rms}^2 = \frac{1}{A^2} \int dr \, r^4 \rho^{\rm rel}(r)$$
 (1)

radii calculated from N = 16, $\hbar\Omega = 36$ MeV model space wave functions

	"bare"	"effective"
	radius [fm]	radius [fm]
N ³ LO	1.503	
$N^{3}LO \alpha = 0.01 \text{fm}^{4}$	1.478	1.477
$N^{3}LO \alpha = 0.04 \text{fm}^{4}$	1.458	1.453
$N^{3}LO \alpha = 0.20 \text{fm}^{4}$	1.482	1.451





- for vanishing pair momentum and $k > k_F$ only high-momentum nucleons are sampled
- measured in (*e*, *e*′*NN*) experiments
- not (yet) corrected for CM motion in NCSM wave function























TRIUMF 2013



TRIUMF 2013



Calculation

- "bare" AV18 and N³LO can not be converged
- NCSM converges only for larger flow parameters

Objectives

- Compare AV18 and N³LO results
- Check for NCSM convergence
- Check flow dependence $\alpha = 0.04, 0.20 \text{ fm}^4$ ($\Lambda = 2.24, 1.50 \text{ fm}^{-1}$)
- What is different from ⁴He ?























6Li (N=12)

- pp

— pn

¹⁰B (N=8)

pp

— pn



0.6

8 0.4

4He (N=16)

- pp

– pn

TRIUMF 2013

12C (N=8)

— рр

- pn









Similarity Renormalization Group

- SRG evolved Hamiltonian and transformation matrix
- "bare" and "effective" density operators

⁴He Two-body densities

- AV8' and N³LO interactions
- short-range and high-momentum components described by effective operators
- high-momentum components above the Fermi momentum dominated by L = 2 pairs
- weak α -dependence in the S = 1, T = 0 channel
- strong α -dependence in the S = 0, T = 1 channel due to many-body correlations
- AV8' and N³LO interaction results differ mainly in the S = 0, T = 1 channel due to different many-body correlations

⁶Li,¹⁰B,¹²C Two-body densities

- T = 1 pairs with L = 1 fill up the *pp/nn* momentum distributions above the Fermi momentum
- AV18 and N³LO provide very similar results up to $k \approx 3$ fm⁻¹