

#### Outline

Goal: understand the role of 3N forces for structure of medium-mass exotic nuclei

- What are the limits of nuclear existence?
- How do magic numbers form and evolve?



#### Outline

Goal: understand the role of 3N forces for structure of medium-mass exotic nuclei



- How do magic numbers form and evolve?



# **Chiral Effective Field Theory: Nuclear Forces**



Nucleons interact via pion exchanges and contact interactions Hierarchy:  $V_{NN} > V_{3N} > \dots$ Consistent treatment of NN, 3N, ... electroweak operators Couplings fit to experiment once Evolve to **low-momentum**  $V_{low k}$ 

3N constants fit to properties of light nuclei at low momentum

Weinberg, van Kolck, Kaplan, Savage, Wise, Epelbaum, Kaiser, Meissner,...

#### Solving the Nuclear Many-Body Problem

Nuclei understood as many-body system starting from closed shell, add nucleons Interaction and energies of valence space orbitals from  $V_{\text{low }k}$ 

Does not reproduce experimental data



#### Solving the Nuclear Many-Body Problem

Nuclei understood as many-body system starting from closed shell, add nucleons Interaction and energies of valence space orbitals from  $V_{\text{low }k}$ 

Does not reproduce experimental data – **allow explicit breaking of core** 

#### **Strategy**



#### Solving the Nuclear Many-Body Problem

Nuclei understood as many-body system starting from closed shell, add nucleons Interaction and energies of valence space orbitals from  $V_{\text{low }k}$ 

Does not reproduce experimental data – **allow explicit breaking of core** 

#### **Strategy**



## **Extended Valence Spaces**

**Philosophy**: diagonalize in largest possible valence space (where orbits relevant)



When do extended-space orbits impact exotic nuclei Caution: possible center-of-mass contamination

# **3N Forces for Valence-Shell Theories**

**Normal-ordered 3N**: contribution to valence nucleon interactions

**Effective one-body** 

**Effective two-body** 



Combine with microscopic NN (Third Order): no empirical adjustments

# **Shell Formation/Evolution in Calcium Isotopes**

Goal: understand the role of 3N forces for structure of medium-mass exotic nuclei

- What are the limits of nuclear existence?
- How do magic numbers form and evolve?



# **Nuclear Pairing**

$$T = 1, J = 0$$

Pairing of even number of nucleons – even/odd staggering

Pairing gaps deduced from **3-point mass difference**:

$$\Delta_n^{(3)} = \frac{(-1)^N}{2} \left[ BE(N+1,Z) + BE(N-1,Z) - 2BE(N,Z) \right]$$

Allows comparison with experiment

Relative peak in  $\Delta_n^{(3)}$  indicates **shell closure** 

- additional tool to evaluate shell evolution

# Pairing in EDF with 3N Forces

Energy Density Functional calculations: 3N lowers gaps systematically ~30%

Lesinski, Hebeler, Duguet, Schwenk, JPG (2012)



What are the contributions from neglected many-body effects? (Core polarization)



# Pairing in Calcium Isotopes: Ladders

Compare with  $\Delta_n^{(3)}$  calculated from microscopic NN+3N in calcium



HFB iterates ladders microscopically in pairing channel
Compare with *pp*, *hh* ladders to 3<sup>rd</sup> order
Improved agreement with experiment
Convergence in order-by-order ladders
Suppression from 3N forces as in EDF
Incorrect odd/even staggering

JDH, Menendez, Schwenk, in prep



# Pairing in Calcium Isotopes: Full 3rd order

Compare with  $\Delta_n^{(3)}$  calculated from microscopic NN+3N in calcium



#### Full 3<sup>rd</sup>-order MBPT

Further increases gaps

Correct odd/even staggering; more pronounced Good experimental reproduction with 3<sup>rd</sup>-order NN+3N Can account for missing physics in EDF calculations

JDH, Menendez, Schwenk, in prep



### **Pairing for Shell Evolution N=28**



Peak in pairing gaps: complementary signature for shell closure Compare with 2<sup>+</sup> energies for Ca

General agreement with CC predictions Hagen et al PRL (2012)

N=28: strong peak, strength overpredicted in both cases

# **Pairing for Shell Evolution N=32**



Peak in pairing gaps: complementary signature for shell closure Compare with 2<sup>+</sup> energies for Ca

N=32: moderate peak

Close to experimental value with new TITAN data

Experimental measurement of <sup>53</sup>Ca mass needed to reduce uncertainty

# **Evolution of Magic Numbers: N=34**

**N=34 magic number in calcium?** 



GXPF1: Honma, Otsuka, Brown, Mizusaki (2004) KB3G: Poves, Sanchez-Solano, Caurier, Nowacki (2001)

Significant phenomenological disagreement for neutron-rich calcium

#### **Pairing for Shell Evolution N=34**



Peak in pairing gaps: complementary signature for shell closure Compare with 2<sup>+</sup> energies for Ca

N=34: weak signature – suppression from 3N forces

## **Neutron-Rich Ca Spectra Near N=34**

#### **Neutron-rich calcium** spectra with NN+3N



JDH, Menendez, Schwenk, in prep.

Different predictions from phenomenology NN+3N similar to KB3G – no indication of *N*=*34* magic number **Consistent with predictions from Coupled-Cluster theory** 

#### **Pairing for Shell Evolution N=40**



Peak in pairing gaps: complementary signature for shell closure Compare with 2<sup>+</sup> energies for Ca

**N=40**: robust signature of shell closure

CC: continuum lowers  $2s_{1/2}$ ,  $1d_{5/2}$ Inclusion will affect N=40 prediction

# **Proton-Rich Systems**



# **Proton-Rich Systems**



#### **Ground-State Energies of N=8 Isotones**



Data limited – use phenomenological isobaric multiplet mass equation (IMME)

 $E(A,T,T_z) = E(A,T,-T_z) + 2b(A,T)T_z$  $b = 0.7068A^{2/3} - 0.9133$ 

**NN-only**: overbound

#### **Ground-State Energies of N=8 Isotones**



Data limited – use phenomenological isobaric multiplet mass equation (IMME)  $E(A,T,T_z) = E(A,T,-T_z) + 2b(A,T)T_z$  $b = 0.7068A^{2/3} - 0.9133$ 

**NN-only**: overbound

NN+3N: improved agreement with experiment/IMME

Extended space important  $\sim A = 21$ 

JDH, Menendez, Schwenk, PRL (2013)

**Dripline unclear**: <sup>22</sup>Si unbound in AME, NN+3N; bound in IMME

# **Ground-State Energies of N=8 Isotones**



Data limited – use phenomenological isobaric multiplet mass equation (IMME)  $E(A,T,T_z) = E(A,T,-T_z) + 2b(A,T)T_z$  $b = 0.7068A^{2/3} - 0.9133$ **NN-only**: overbound

**NN+3N**: improved agreement with experiment/IMME

Extended space important  $\sim A = 21$ 

JDH, Menendez, Schwenk, PRL (2013)

**Dripline unclear**: <sup>22</sup>Si unbound in AME, NN+3N; bound in IMME

<sup>22</sup> Si possible two-proton emitter	S.	IMME	NN+3N (sd)	NN+3N ( $sdf_{7/2}p_{3/2}$ )
Measurement needed	S <sub>2p</sub>	0.01 MeV	-1.63 MeV	-0.12 MeV

#### **Spectra of N=8 Isotones**



JDH, Menendez, Schwenk, PRL (2012)

**NN+3N**: reasonable agreement with experiment

**New measurement**: excited state in <sup>20</sup>Mg close to predicted 4<sup>+</sup>-2<sup>+</sup> doublet Predictions for proton-rich <sup>21</sup>Al, <sup>22</sup>Si spectra Closed sub-shell signature in <sup>22</sup>Si

# **Ground-State Energies of N=20 Isotones**



**Dripline**: Predicted to be <sup>46</sup>Fe in all calculations

C	Expt.	NN+3N ( <i>pf</i> )	NN+3N ( $pfg_{9/2}$ )
S <sub>2p</sub>	-1.28(6) MeV	-2.73 MeV	-1.02 MeV

Prediction for <sup>48</sup>Ni within 300keV of experiment

Dossat et al (2005); Pomorski et al (2012)

## **Evaluating Center-of-Mass Contamination**

Nonperturbative Lee-Suzuki (LS) transformation from extended space

 $H|\psi_n\rangle = E_n|\psi_n\rangle$ Q $PH_{eff}^{LS}P|\phi_n\rangle = \varepsilon_n P|\phi_n\rangle$  $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset \{E_n\}$  $\langle H_{CM}\rangle = 0$  $\frac{sdf_{7/2}p_{3/2}}{O}$ sd P

Diagonalize **two-body** system (*e.g.*, <sup>18</sup>O, <sup>42</sup>Ca)

Extended-space spectrum free of CM contamination

Preserve eigenenergies from extended space calculation via LS

Use  $H_{eff}^{LS}$  as new two-body Hamiltonian in *sd*-shell valence-space calculations

# **Evaluating Center-of-Mass Contamination**



Improvements from standard *sd*-shell – not due to center of mass Work in progress: involving N > 2 neutrons in extended space

# Conclusion

- Nuclear structure theory of medium-mass nuclei with 3N forces, extended spaces
- Robust repulsive 3N mechanism for T=1 neutron/proton-rich nuclei
- Oxygen isotopes
  - Cures NN-only failings: dripline, shell evolution, spectra
- Calcium isotopes
  - Shell evolution towards the dripline from  $2^+$  energies and  $\Delta_n^{(3)}$
  - Weak *N*=34 closure predicted
- **Proton-rich N=8, 20 isotones**: similar improvements in g.s. energies/spectra

# Acknowledgments

