Ab Initio Coupled Cluster Calculations of Medium-Mass Nuclei

Sven Binder Institut für kernphysik



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Similarity Renormalization Group

continuous transformation driving Hamiltonian to band-diagonal form with respect to a chosen basis

• unitary transformation of Hamiltonian (and other observables) $\widetilde{H}_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha}^{\dagger} H U_{\alpha}$

• evolution equations for \widetilde{H}_{α} and U_{α} depending on generator η_{α} $\frac{d}{d\alpha}\widetilde{H}_{\alpha} = [\eta_{\alpha}, \widetilde{H}_{\alpha}] \qquad \qquad \frac{d}{d\alpha}U_{\alpha} = -U_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}$

dynamic generator: commutator with the operator in whose eigenbasis H shall be diagonalized

$$\eta_{\alpha} = (2\mu)^2 [T_{int}, \widetilde{H}_{\alpha}]$$

Calculations in A-Body Space

• evolution induces *n*-body contributions $\widetilde{H}_{\alpha}^{[n]}$ to Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha} = \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[1]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[4]}$$

 truncation of cluster series inevitable and invariance of energy eigenvalues α-variation provides a
 diagnostic tool to assess
 the contributions of omitted many-body interactions

Three SRG-Evolved Hamiltonians

- NN only: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and keep two-body terms only
- NN+3N-induced: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and keep twoand induced three-body terms
- NN+3N-full: start with NN+3N initial Hamiltonian and keep twoand all three-body terms

Coupled Cluster Method

G. Hagen, T. Papenbrock, D.J. Dean, and M. Hjorth-Jensen — Phys. Rev. C 82, 034330 (2010) G. Hagen, T. Papenbrock, D.J. Dean et al. — Phys. Rev. C 76, 034302 (2007)

Coupled Cluster Approach

exponential Ansatz for wave operator

$$|\Psi\rangle = \hat{\Omega}|\Phi_0\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3 + \dots + \hat{T}_A}|\Phi_0\rangle$$

\hat{T}_n : *npnh* excitation ("cluster") operators

$$\hat{T}_n = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\substack{ijk...\\abc...}} t^{abc...}_{ijk...} \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger}_a \hat{a}^{\dagger}_b \hat{a}^{\dagger}_c \dots \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i \}$$

similarity transformed Schrödinger Eq.

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E|\Phi_0\rangle , \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}} \equiv e^{-\hat{T}}\hat{H}_N e^{\hat{T}}$$

\square $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$: non-Hermitian **effective Hamiltonian**

Coupled Cluster Approach

• **CCSD** : truncate \hat{T} at **2p2h** level, $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2$





 $\hat{T}_1 \ \hat{T}_2 \ \hat{T}_2 \ |\Phi_0
angle$

CCSD equations

$$\Delta E_{\text{CCSD}} = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$0 = \langle \Phi_i^a | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$0 = \langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

Normal-Ordered 3N Interaction

Hagen, Papenbrock, Dean et al. — Phys. Rev. C 76, 034302 (2007) Roth, Binder, Vobig et al. — Phys. Rev. Lett 109, 052501 (2012) Binder, Langhammer, Calci et al. — Phys. Rev. C 82, 021303 (2013)

Normal-Ordered 3N Interaction

avoid technical challenge of including explicit 3N interactions in many-body calculation

 idea: write 3N interaction in normal-ordered form with respect to an A-body reference Slater-determinant (0ħΩ state)

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathsf{V}}_{3\mathsf{N}} &= \sum V_{\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ}^{3\mathsf{N}} \, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\dagger} \, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{\circ} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\circ}^{$$

Normal-Ordering Approximation (NO2B): discard residual 3B part W^{3B}

Benchmark of Normal-Ordered 3N



- compare IT-NCSM results with explicit 3N to normal-ord.
 3N truncated at the 2B level
- typical deviations up to 2% for ⁴He and 1% for ¹⁶O

explicit / NO2B ● / ○ ● / ◊ ▲ / △ ■ / □

 $\alpha = 0.04 \text{ fm}^4$ $\alpha = 0.05 \text{ fm}^4$ $\alpha = 0.0625 \text{ fm}^4$ $\alpha = 0.08 \text{ fm}^4$ $\hbar\Omega = 20 \text{ MeV}$

¹⁶O: Coupled-Cluster with 3N_{NO2B}



¹⁶O: Coupled-Cluster with 3N_{NO2B}



⁴⁸Ca: Coupled-Cluster with 3N_{NO2B}



CCSD with Explicit 3N Interactions (CCSD3B)

Hagen, Papenbrock, Dean et al. — Phys. Rev. C 76, 034302 (2007) Binder, Langhammer, Calci et al. — Phys. Rev. C 82, 021303 (2013)

The CCSD3B Equations

the CCSD equations with explicit 3N read

$$\begin{split} \Delta E_{\text{CCSD}}^{3B} &= \Delta E_{\text{CCSD}}^{NO2B} + \left\langle \Phi_{0} \right| \hat{W}_{3B}(\hat{T}_{1}\hat{T}_{2} + \frac{1}{3!}\hat{T}_{1}^{3}) \left| \Phi_{0} \right\rangle_{C} \\ 0 &= T_{1,\text{CCSD}}^{NO2B} + \left\langle \Phi_{i}^{a} \right| \hat{W}_{3B}(\hat{T}_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}_{1}^{2} + \hat{T}_{1}\hat{T}_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!}\hat{T}_{1}^{3} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}_{1}^{2}\hat{T}_{2} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{T}_{1}^{4}) \left| \Phi_{0} \right\rangle_{C} \\ 0 &= T_{2,\text{CCSD}}^{NO2B} + \left\langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \right| \hat{W}_{3B}(\hat{T}_{1} + \hat{T}_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}_{1}^{2} + \hat{T}_{1}\hat{T}_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!}\hat{T}_{1}^{3} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}_{1}^{2}\hat{T}_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}_{1}\hat{T}_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{4!}\hat{T}_{1}^{4} + \frac{1}{5!}\hat{T}_{1}^{5}) \left| \Phi_{0} \right\rangle_{C} \end{split}$$

- all new contributions stem from \hat{W}_{3B}
- CCSD3B probes new parts of the Hamiltonian and new excitation types



CCSD with Explicit 3N Interaction



CCSD 3B / NO2B • / • $\alpha = 0.02 \text{ fm}^4$ • / • $\alpha = 0.04 \text{ fm}^4$ • / • $\alpha = 0.08 \text{ fm}^4$ HF basis $E_{3 \text{ max}} = 12$

CCSD with Explicit 3N Interaction





- excellent agreement between NO2B and explicit 3N (deviation < 1% for all nuclei considered)
- quality of NO2B **independent** of e_{max} , $\hbar\Omega$, α
- efficient and accurate way to include 3N interactions

E_{3max} Truncation

- full \hat{V}_{3B} matrix **too large** to handle
- **E**_{3max} truncation : use \hat{V}_{3B} matrix elements $\langle pqr | \hat{V}_{3B} | stu \rangle$ with

$$e_p + e_q + e_r \leq E_{3\max} \vee e_s + e_t + e_u \leq E_{3\max}$$

 $e_p = 2n_p + l_p$

current limits:

$$E_{3\max} \leq \begin{cases} 12 & : CC, & explicit 3N \\ 14, \dots & : NCSM, & explicit 3N \\ 14, \dots & : CC, NCSM & NO2B \end{cases}$$



E_{3max} Dependence (CCSD_{NO2B})



- E_{3max} not significant for soft interactions
- harder interactions : up to 2% change in g.s. energies for $E_{3max} = 12 \rightarrow 14$
- α-dependence for NN+3N
 induced reduced for larger
 E_{3max}
- α-dependence for NN+3N full
 enhanced for larger E_{3max}

$\Lambda CCSD(T)$ - Improving upon CCSD

• CCSDT, i.e.,
$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3$$
, **expensive**

solution of the Coupled Cluster A equations give a posteriori fourth order correction to CC energy functional

$$\mathcal{E} = \langle \Phi_0 | (1 + \Lambda) \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Phi_o \rangle_C$$

due to triples excitations

$$\delta E_{\Lambda CCSD(T)} = \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{abc\\ijk}} \tilde{\lambda}_{abc}^{ijk} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{ijk}^{abc}} \tilde{t}_{ijk}^{abc}$$

$\Lambda CCSD(T)_{NO2B}$



$\Lambda CCSD(T)_{NO2B}$



$CCSD_{NO2B}$ vs. $\Lambda CCSD(T)_{NO2B}$



- inclusion of triples excitations mandatory (up to 6 % more binding for heavier nuclei)
- cluster truncation works better for softer interactions
- $\alpha = 0.02 \text{ fm}^4$ results not necessarily closer to **exact result** than $\alpha = 0.08 \text{ fm}^4$
- ⇒ calculations with **bare** 3N interaction suffer from cluster truncation and E_{3max} cut

ACCSD(T) with Explicit 3N Interactions

Binder, Langhammer, Calci, Navrátil, Roth — in prep.

$\Lambda CCSD(T)3B$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = e^{-\hat{\mathcal{T}}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N e^{\hat{\mathcal{T}}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{NO2B} + 116 \text{ terms } + \dots$$

■ ACCSD(T)3B energy correction

$$\delta E_{\text{ACCSD}(\text{T})} = \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{abc\\ijk}} \tilde{\lambda}_{abc}^{ijk} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{ijk}^{abc}} \tilde{t}_{ijk}^{abc}$$

• contributions from \hat{W}_{3B} to $\tilde{\lambda}_{abc}^{ijk}$, \tilde{t}_{ijk}^{abc}

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{abc}^{ijk} &= \tilde{\lambda}_{abc}^{ijk} [\text{NO2B}] - \hat{P}_{ab/c} \sum_{l} w_{abl}^{ijk} \lambda_{c}^{l} + \hat{P}_{ij/k} \sum_{d} w_{abc}^{ijd} \lambda_{d}^{k} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ij/k} \sum_{de} w_{abc}^{dek} \lambda_{de}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ab/c} \sum_{lm} w_{lmc}^{ijk} \lambda_{ab}^{lm} + \hat{P}_{ij/k}^{ab/c} \sum_{dl} w_{abl}^{ijd} \lambda_{cd}^{kl} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{t}_{ijk}^{abc} &= \tilde{t}_{ijk}^{abc} [\text{NO2B}] - \hat{P}_{ab/c} \sum_{l} w_{ijk}^{abl} t_{l}^{c} + \hat{P}_{ij/k} \sum_{d} w_{ijd}^{abc} t_{k}^{d} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ij/k} \sum_{de} w_{dek}^{abc} t_{ij}^{de} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{ab/c} \sum_{lm} w_{ijk}^{lmc} t_{lm}^{ab} + \hat{P}_{ij/k}^{ab/c} \sum_{dl} w_{ijd}^{abl} t_{kl}^{cd} \end{split}$$

$\Lambda CCSD(T)3B$



$\Lambda CCSD(T)3B$



- NO2B shows excellent agreement also for ACCSD(T)
 - residual 3N contribute 0.75 MeV or 0.7 % to E_{ACCSD(T)}
- $= E_{\text{ACCSD}(\text{T})} = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \Delta E_{\text{ACCSD}} + \delta E_{\text{ACCSD}(\text{T})}$
- residual 3N contribute
 - **0.00 MeV** or **0.0** % to $\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle$
 - **0.70 MeV** or **2.7 %** to Δ*E*_{CCSD}
 - 0.05 MeV or 2.2 % to $\delta E_{\Lambda CCSD(T)}$
- significant contribution of residual 3N only for △E_{CCSD}
- $= E_{\Lambda CCSD(T)3B} \approx \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \Delta E_{CCSD3B} + \delta E_{\Lambda CCSD(T)}^{NO2B}$

CCSDT?

No, thanks!

ACCSD(T) energy correction (non-iterative)

$$\delta E_{\Lambda CCSD(T)} = \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{abc\\ijk}} \tilde{\lambda}_{abc}^{ijk} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{ijk}^{abc}} \tilde{t}_{ijk}^{abc}$$

- CCSDT is **iterative** \Rightarrow solve for and **store** $\langle abc | \hat{t}_3 | ijk \rangle$
- need E_{3max} truncation for $\langle abc | \hat{t}_3 | ijk \rangle$
- assume $E_{\text{CCSDT}}(E_{3\max}) \approx E_{\wedge \text{CCSD}(T)}(E_{3\max})$

CCSDT?



Epilogue

thanks to my group & my collaborators

- A. Calci, E. Gebrerufael, P. Isserstedt, H. Krutsch, J. Langhammer, S. Reinhardt, R. Roth, S. Schulz, C. Stumpf, A. Tichai, R. Trippel, R. Wirth Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt
- P. Navrátil

TRIUMF Vancouver, Canada

- J. Vary, P. Maris Iowa State University, USA
- S. Quaglioni LLNL Livermore, USA
- P. Piecuch Michigan State University, USA

• H. Hergert

Ohio State University, USA

- P. Papakonstantinou IPN Orsay, F
- C. Forssén Chalmers University, Sweden
- H. Feldmeier, T. Neff GSI Helmholtzzentrum



Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

DFG



Score Londes-Offensive zur Entwicklung Wissenschaftlichökonomischer Exzellenz





Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





COMPUTING TIME

